UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,159	11/03/2003	Robert T. Long SR.	1547520/69700	9560
	26386 7590 03/31/2009 DAVIS, BROWN, KOEHN, SHORS & ROBERTS, P.C.		EXAMINER	
THE DAVIS BROWN TOWER			GILBERT, WILLIAM V	
	215 10TH STREET SUITE 1300 DES MOINES, IA 50309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/700,159	LONG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William V. Gilbert	3635
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 ∪</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 100 ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be considered as a constant of the should be s	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/700,159 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

This is a first action following a request for continued examination. Claim 5 is cancelled. Amended claims 1-4, 6 and 7 and new claim 8 are pending and examined.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 19 November 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the

Application/Control Number: 10/700,159

Art Unit: 3635

United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Messenger et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,701,683 B2).

Regarding Claim 1, Messenger discloses a method for constructing a concrete sandwich panel (Figure 1, element 2) comprising providing a first concrete panel (14) providing a second concrete panel (16), providing an insulation layer (4) between the panels and connecting the concrete layers and the insulation layer using a rigid sinusoidal element of fiber reinforced composite article (10; Page 4, lines 17-23; the final product is unitary). While the fiber-reinforced article is initially flexible, it is mixed with a "strong resin such as a thermoset" (Col. 6, lines 5-10) that would make the article rigid.

Regarding Claim 2, Messenger discloses a method for constructing a concrete sandwich panel (2), by providing an insulation layer (4), installing a rigid sinusoidal element of fiber reinforced composite (10 it is unitary in the final product), placing the insulation layer with the sinusoidal element on a first concrete layer (14), and placing a second concrete layer (16) on top of the insulation layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,159

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding Claim 3, Messenger discloses a method for constructing a concrete panel comprising attaching rigid sinusoidal elements (10, the final product is a unitary structure) to longitudinal reinforcing elements (6, 8; Page 4, lines 17-23) preparing a first concrete layer containing the longitudinal elements (14), placing an insulation layer between the sinusoidal elements (4) and placing a second concrete layer (16) over the insulation layer.

Regarding Claims 6 and 7, Messenger discloses a concrete wall panel without pre-stressed reinforcing rods comprising a first layer of concrete (14) a second layer of concrete (16) a layer of insulation material (4) between the layers of concrete, a rigid sinusoidal element (10, the final product is a unitary structure) having a top and a bottom end (an inherent feature) the sinusoidal element further comprising a fiber reinforced composite article (Page 4, lines 17-23, the composite has a unitary profile in that the final product is one piece), the sinusoidal element having the top end located in the first layer of concrete (14) and extending through the insulation layer (4) and having a bottom end embedded in the second layer of concrete (16), and, per Claim 7, the sinusoidal element is not engaged with any pre-stressed reinforcing rods (no pre-stressing is present in the prior art of record).

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding Claim 8, Messenger discloses providing first and second concrete panels (14 and 16) providing an insulation layer (4) between the two and the two are connected by using rigid sinusoidal elements (10, the final product is a unitary structure) that are made of fiber reinforced composite articles. The articles can inherently transmit both tension and compression forces between the panels due to the connection. For example, if one were to suspend the panel horizontally, the upper surface of the panel is inherently under compression and the lower surface is under tension as governed by the rules of mechanical properties.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Messenger et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,159 Page 6

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding Claim 4, Messenger discloses a method for constructing a concrete sandwich panel (2) comprising providing a first concrete layer (14) with longitudinal reinforcing elements in place (6; the final product is in one piece resulting in a unitary structure), installing an insulation layer (4) over the first concrete layer (14), and placing a second concrete layer (16) with longitudinal reinforcing elements (6) on the insulation layer (4). While Messenger discloses rigid sinusoidal elements (10, the final product has a unitary profile) in the insulation layer (4), Messenger does not disclose pushing the sinusoidal elements into the insulation layer. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to push the sinusoidal elements into the insulation layer because as one provides the first concrete panel (14) and places the insulation layer on the panel, the obvious method of installing the sinusoidal elements would be by pushing the elements into the insulation.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as applicant amended the claims. Regarding the

Application/Control Number: 10/700,159 Page 7

Art Unit: 3635

limitation, "unitary structure" see explanation above for clarification. The examiner maintains that while the prior art is made of individual strands, the final product is a unitary structure.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William V. Gilbert whose telephone number is 571.272.9055. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00 to 17:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571.272.6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,159

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 8

/W. V. G./
Examiner, Art Unit 3635
/Basil Katcheves/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3635